

## References

1. Veloso TR, Que YA, Chaouch A, et al. Prophylaxis of experimental endocarditis with antiplatelet and antithrombin agents: a role for long-term prevention of infective endocarditis in humans? *J Infect Dis* **2015**; 211:72–9.
2. Taha TH, Durrant SS, Mazeika PK, Nihoyanopoulos P, Oakley CM. Aspirin to prevent growth of vegetations and cerebral emboli in infective endocarditis. *J Intern Med* **1992**; 231:543–6.
3. Nettles R, Cabell CH, Meine F, Anderson D, Sexton DJ, Corey GR. The Effect of Aspirin on Stroke Incidence during Infective Endocarditis. In: Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 2000.
4. Chan KL, Dumesnil JG, Cujec B, et al. A randomized trial of aspirin on the risk of embolic events in patients with infective endocarditis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* **2003**; 42: 775–80.
5. Anavekar NS, Tleyjeh IM, Mirzoyev Z, et al. Impact of prior antiplatelet therapy on risk of embolism in infective endocarditis. *Clin Infect Dis* **2007**; 44:1180–6.
6. Chan KL, Tam J, Dumesnil JG, et al. Effect of long-term aspirin use on embolic events in infective endocarditis. *Clin Infect Dis* **2008**; 46:37–41.
7. Pepin J, Tremblay V, Bechard D, et al. Chronic antiplatelet therapy and mortality among patients with infective endocarditis. *Clin Microbiol Infect* **2009**; 15:193–9.
8. Eisen DP, Corey GR, McBryde ES, et al. Reduced valve replacement surgery and complication rate in *Staphylococcus aureus* endocarditis patients receiving acetylsalicylic acid. *J Infect* **2009**; 58:332–8.
9. Snygg-Martin U, Rasmussen RV, Hassager C, Bruun NE, Andersson R, Olaison L. The relationship between cerebrovascular complications and previously established use of antiplatelet therapy in left-sided infective endocarditis. *Scand J Infect Dis* **2011**; 43: 899–904.
10. Anavekar NS, Schultz JC, De Sa DD, et al. Modifiers of symptomatic embolic risk in infective endocarditis. *Mayo Clin Proc* **2011**; 86:1068–74.

Received 29 January 2015; accepted 24 February 2015; electronically published 9 March 2015.

Correspondence: Damon P. Eisen, Victorian Infectious Diseases Service, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Grattan St, Parkville, Victoria 3050, Australia (damon.eisen@mh.org.au).

**The Journal of Infectious Diseases®** 2015;212:673–4

© The Author 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com.  
DOI: 10.1093/infdis/jiv131

## Reply to Eisen and McBryde

TO THE EDITOR—Eisen and McBryde [1] support the conclusion of our experimental study on antiplatelet prophylaxis of

experimental infective endocarditis (IE) and the need to further investigate new drugs of the anti-GPIIb/IIIa receptor class (eg, abciximab) that could be given orally. Their argument is based on both the greater ability of abciximab than more classic aspirin-plus-ticlopidine regimen to prevent experimental IE caused by both streptococci and staphylococci, and on their meta-analysis showing that classical antiplatelet therapy given in established IE provided a benefit in terms of embolus prevention, which was counterbalanced by a risk of increased overall mortality. Thus, there is a proof of concept for a benefit of antiplatelet regimens in both IE prevention and therapy, but improved drugs and drug formulations must be sought.

We support the argument of Eisen and McBryde that further development on anti-GPIIb/IIIa drugs could represent an improvement for IE prevention in selected at-risk patients. However, we would not entirely discard a potential benefit from more classical antiplatelet regimens, as they did show a significant protective effect against experimental IE caused by both *Streptococcus gordonii* and *Staphylococcus aureus* experimental IE, although abciximab was more effective.

Eisen and McBryde's arguments are based on the relatively limited (although not null) efficacy of classical antiplatelet regimens (mainly aspirin) to prevent embolism in established IE. They also emphasize that antiaggregant therapy should be given before the onset of IE rather than after IE establishment. Indeed, early antiaggregant therapy may decrease the size of nascent vegetations and impede their further enlargement, whereas late antiaggregant therapy might favor vegetation dislodgment and bleeding in embolized areas [2].

The fact that antiaggregant given before IE is not a risk factor for increased embolism in case of later IE development is critical, as it does not prohibit antiaggregants as a prophylactic measure in at-risk patients, at least with classical drugs. The question, however, is whether

or not chronic use of aspirin or other antiplatelet drugs might protect patients from IE development. We sought to determine whether existing human data could provide some clues to answer this question. Unfortunately, neither the Framingham Heart Study cohort nor the International Collaboration on Endocarditis database could provide definitive information on this specific issue. Therefore, we are currently planning a prospective observational study in patients with bioprosthetic heart valves receiving or not receiving thrombosis prophylaxis with antiplatelet drugs.

We also would like to emphasize the protective effect of the new-generation thrombin inhibitor dabigatran against *S. aureus* experimental IE. Control acenocoumarol did not protect against either streptococcal or staphylococcal experimental IE, whereas dabigatran specifically protected against *S. aureus* IE. This is likely associated with the observation that dabigatran inhibits not only thrombin, but also the *S. aureus* coagulase, which can bypass thrombin and polymerize fibrinogen into fibrin, even in acenocoumarol- or citrate-anticoagulated blood. The dual anticoagulant and anti-*S. aureus* activity of dabigatran would be ideal in patients with prosthetic valves, in whom *S. aureus* IE is lethal in close to 50% of cases [3, 4]. Unfortunately, dabigatran did not do well in such patients [5, 6]. While further pharmacologic development is required before dabigatran can be used in prosthetic valves, it opens yet another strategy for *S. aureus* IE prevention.

We agree with Eisen and McBryde that more developments are needed regarding the prevention and treatment of IE. Regarding prevention, we have abandoned antibiotic prophylaxis overkill, which was based on intuitive rather than evidence-based medicine [7, 8]. Yet, IE is a persistent Damocles sword in at-risk patients, as it can happen at any time during their life. Simple alternatives are needed for these patients, and chronic use of antiplatelet drugs could be one of them. Regarding therapy, 2–6 week courses of parenteral antibiotics are still the standard.

We think that numerous improvements are possible, including adjunctive therapy that could interfere with vegetation development, promote its resolution, and help bacterial clearance. Indeed, while further improvements in antiaggregant drugs seem a promising approach, other innovative options must also be considered, such as decreasing embolic events in patients suffering IE and chronically treated with statins [9], where pleomorphic activity might reveal as yet unexpected benefits. New imaginative strategies are welcome to solve the IE problem.

## Note

**Potential conflicts of interest.** All authors: No reported conflicts.

All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

**Tiago Rafael Veloso,<sup>1</sup> Yok-Ai Que,<sup>2</sup> Philippe Moreillon,<sup>1</sup> and José Manuel Entenza<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Fundamental Microbiology, University of Lausanne, and <sup>2</sup>Department of Intensive Care

Medicine, University Hospital Medical Center, (CHUV) and University of Lausanne, Switzerland

## References

1. Eisen DP, McBryde ES. An association between aspirin use in human cases of infective endocarditis and reduced systemic embolism is shown in meta-analysis of observational studies. *J Infect Dis* **2015**; 212:673–4.
2. Chan K-L, Dumesnil JG, Cujec B, et al. A randomized trial of aspirin on the risk of embolic events in patients with infective endocarditis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* **2003**; 42:775–80.
3. Chirouze C, Miro JM, Cabell CH, et al. Prognostic factors in 61 cases of *Staphylococcus aureus* prosthetic valve infective endocarditis from the International Collaboration on Endocarditis merged database. *Clin Infect Dis* **2004**; 38:1323–7.
4. Hill EE, Herijgers P, Claus P, Vanderschueren S, Herregods M, Peetermans WE. Infective endocarditis: changing epidemiology and predictors of 6-month mortality: a prospective cohort study. *Eur Heart J* **2007**; 28: 196–203.
5. Van de Werf F, Brueckmann M, Connolly SJ, et al. A comparison of dabigatran etexilate with warfarin in patients with mechanical heart valves: THE Randomized, phase II study to evaluate the safety and pharmacokinetics of oral dabigatran etexilate in patients after heart valve replacement (RE-ALIGN). *Am Heart J* **2012**; 163:931–7.
6. Eikelboom JW, Connolly SJ, Brueckmann M, et al. Dabigatran versus warfarin in patients with mechanical heart valves. *N Engl J Med* **2013**; 369:1206–14.
7. Wilson W, Taubert KA, Gewitz M, et al. Prevention of infective endocarditis: guidelines from the American Heart Association: a guideline from the American Heart Association Rheumatic Fever, Endocarditis, and Kawasaki Disease Committee, Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, and the Council on Clinical Cardiology, Council on Cardiovascular Surgery and Anesthesia, and the Quality of Care and Outcomes Research Interdisciplinary Working Group. *Circulation* **2007**; 116:1736–54.
8. Thuny F, Grisoli D, Cautela J, Riberi A, Raoult D, Habib G. Infective endocarditis: prevention, diagnosis, and management. *Can J Cardiol* **2014**; 30:1046–57.
9. Anavekar NS, Schultz JC, De Sa DDC, et al. Modifiers of symptomatic embolic risk in infective endocarditis. *Mayo Clin Proc* **2011**; 86:1068–74.

Received 23 February 2015; accepted 24 February 2015; electronically published 9 March 2015.

Correspondence: José Manuel Entenza, Department of Fundamental Microbiology, University of Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland (jose.entenza@unil.ch).

**The Journal of Infectious Diseases®** 2015;212:674–5

© The Author 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com.

DOI: 10.1093/infdis/jiv133